

ISBN 978-3-9523243-9-4 DOI 10.3235/978-3-9523243-9-4

Potassium: Mineralogy and Status in Soils, and Crop Response in Punjab, India

Mukand S. Brar Siddhartha S. Mukhopadhyay Nachhattar S. Dhillon Preeti Sharma Amandeep Singh

Department of Soils Punjab Agricultural University Ludhiana, India



Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India



International Potash Institute Horgen, Switzerland 2008 Copyright © 2008 by International Potash Institute POB 569, CH-8810 Horgen, Switzerland Phone: + (41) 43 810 49 22 Fax: + (41) 43 810 49 25 e-mail: ipi@ipipotash.org Web: www.ipipotash.org

ISBN 978-3-9523243-9-4 DOI 10.3235/978-3-9523243-9-4

Published on behalf of the International Potash Institute by Dr. M.S. Brar Department of Soils Punjab Agricultural University Ludhiana -141004, India (email: brarms@yahoo.co.in)

Printed by : Swami Printers, Ludhiana-141001, India Tel: +91-161-2306633, 2311564

Foreword

The vital role that the state of Punjab played in executing the first Green Revolution is a well documented fact. The state continues to contribute very significantly to the National Buffer Stock and ensuring food security. Undoubtedly, the credit goes to the progressive farmers of the state who have been the first ones to adopt new technologies and management options. But in spite of the fact that Punjab is one of the most agriculturally progressive state, the ratio of N, P, and K in Punjab is one of the most lop sided ones in the country with the maximum emphasis on nitrogen and very little attention has been given to balanced nutrient application. Amongst the depleted nutrients, potassium is of prime concern because it is exhausted at the rate of more than 300 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and traditionally not being returned to soils by the farmers of Punjab. The soils of the state are endowed with potassium-containing minerals, and therefore, it could maintain the potassium supply to plants but with the increase in cropping intensity from 126 percent during pre-Green Revolution era (1960-61) to 189 percent in post-Green Revolution period (2004-05) accompanied by an increase of food grain production by 8 times, the situation has become alarming. It is for the first time now that the profits of farmers are rising on account of increasing demands of farm produces to supplement automobile fuels, and consequent rise in prices of other farm products. Therefore, it is imperative to practice balanced nutrition in letter and spirit. Experience shows that whenever demand for farm products shoot-up; farmers (especially farmers of poor and developing nations) tend to boost production by applying fertilizers that contain one or, a few elements that escalate heavy mining of nutrients. Considering the fact that balanced nutrient application is essential for enhancing and sustaining crop production, the Government of India has recently initiated nutrient based subsidy instead of product based that existed earlier. This Bulletin takes into account this issue with specific reference to potassium and focus is on the temporal changes in the status of potassium, its forms, its occurrence and state of soil minerals as well as the current scenario of crop responses to applied potassium. I am confident that this bulletin will help young researchers to identify researchable issues, and policy planners to monitor quality of soil resources and effect checking of potassium exhaustion from the soils of Punjab. I compliment the authors for bringing out an excellent publication.

Dated: 02 September, 2008

durigh

(A.K. Singh) Deputy Director General (NRM) Indian Council of Agricultural Research Krishi Anusandhan Bhawan - II New Delhi - 110012, India

Foreword

In recent decades India has made tremendous progress in the development of its agricultural production systems. With more than 160 million hectares of cultivable land, India has a rich diversity of crops that are grown to feed a growing population of more than 1.2 billion people. Worldwide, India ranks third in cereal production; after the US and China, and is contributing more than 10 percent of global production, and ranks second in fruit and vegetable production - providing more than 10 percent of the global share. Nevertheless, productivity levels of cereals in India are lower than the world average by 20 percent, which emphasizes that there is an urgent need to improve production rates.

Cereal productivity in Punjab is the highest in the country - almost 4 t ha-¹, which is an impressive 20 percent more than the world average and 60 percent higher than the national average. This reflects the high degree of agricultural productivity achieved by the state. In terms of fertilizer use, Punjab uses more than 1.2 m t of N, 0.3 m t of P_2O_5 and 0.04 m t of K_2O , with average applications of approximately 280 kg ha⁻¹ of N, 75 kg ha⁻¹ of P_2O_5 and 10 kg ha⁻¹ of K_2O . Clearly, while N and P inputs are generous, that of potassium is very low, and represents a very low N:K ratio of 1:0.04. This is almost ten times lower than the world average. The low application rate of potassium in Punjab is due to the commonly-held belief that soils in Punjab are rich in potassium, and that K inputs from irrigation water are sufficient for crop needs. However, in many field experiments these notions proved to be inaccurate, and significant economic benefits are achieved as a result of balanced fertilization with potassium.

The Bulletin entitled, "Potassium: Mineralogy and Status in Soils, and Crop Response in Punjab, India" provides fresh evidence for the scientific, extension and farming communities in Punjab on the various positive outcomes of balanced fertilization. The challenge of providing sufficient food for all requires the best scientific and extension tools and awareness of issues such as declining soil fertility, partial factor productivity of applied nutrients, changes in structure of potassium-bearing minerals, and reducing the negative environmental impacts caused by unbalanced fertilization.

During the last 40 years there has been an acute need to optimize agricultural production systems, and it remains a high priority for the future. In the field of fertilization, balanced inputs of all required nutrients is an immediate and cost-effective tool for increasing productivity of all crops.

H. Mayon (Hillel Magen)

14th August, 2008

(Hillel Magen) Director, International Potash Institute

Preface

In spite of some attempts, for the last half-a-century management of potassium in the soils that are rich in potassium containing minerals remains an unsavory mystery. The conventional paradigms - that has been used to address it are based on end-users' conviction, which is usually locked into exploring gain in yield of crops due to application of potassium. In a more practical sense in Punjab, fertilizer management experiments focus on the immediate interest of cultivators setting aside the long term soil health problems due to its continued depletion from soils. Although, potassium applications to soils is proved to be beneficial to counter water-stress environment, preventing disease and pest infestation, improving milling quality of grains, improve the efficient utilization of other nutrients, especially that of nitrogen, and boosting of consumables-quality of foods and beverages, the message of its importance has inadequately reached to the farmers. In neo-developed and developing countries, economic compulsions and lack of agreement to advanced scientific findings create an enormous opportunity to the scientific workers to redraw hypotheses, to restate experimental, and to remap outreach activities. The objectives could be in shifting management protocol from the existing "crop response regime experiments" to "soil restoration of potassium regime experiments" and from agronomic "yield gain" mindset to eco-system "potassium dividend for nutritional security of man and animal" mindset, and focusing on issues pertaining to environment, like identifying variables that control K dynamics, weathering of soil minerals, and soil mineral-soil organics interfaces. This bulletin is an attempt to this direction with an emphasis on a brief presentation of the state of knowledge on the issues of potassium management in the soils and plants in Punjab (India), and to explore new thrusts required to address soil fatigues.

The bulletin aims to lay a foundation on the interconnected nature of potassium in soil-plant system – soil-mineral-source of potassium, and ever shifting dynamics of its forms; skewed due to unchecked depletion from farmlands. Punjab exemplifies the grave situation of nutrients, especially potassium depletion from soils, because in the last 45 years (1960-2005), production of food grains has increased by 8.1 times, while production of cotton has increased by 3.4 times, each increment of production implying steep rise of depletion of nutrients from soils. The alarming situation is reflected from the fact that the soils have not yet been compensated of the exhausted potassium. The bulletin also challenges the myth that potassium is rarely a limiting nutrient in the soils of Punjab. A few experiments that demonstrate this point must be viewed from the large-scale potassium exhaustion for the last half-a-century under intensively cultivated irrigated farming system in Punjab with no let-up and little potassium credit to soils. We have

highlighted the importance of commencing response experiments in soils that are low or medium in available status of potassium, and argued that these experiments must be linked with the occurrence and state of soil minerals, and favored a regular monitoring of the status of potassium forms in soils and plants. We sincerely hope that young researchers would break new grounds on some of the research gaps we have envisioned and other hot topics that come out as information void in the Bulletin.

We place on record our deep sense of gratitude to Dr. Hillel Magen and Dr. Patricia Imas of the International Potash Institute for extending financial support in the form of an adhoc research project, and also for funding the publication of the Bulletin. We are indebted to them for critical review of the manuscript and making useful suggestions. This bulletin is enriched with the contribution of a foreword by Dr. H. Magen. We are thankful to Dr. V. Beri, former Head, and Dr. A.S. Sidhu, Head, Department of Soils for their support. We are grateful to Dr. A.K. Singh, Deputy Director General (NRM), Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi for his kind consent to write a foreword for this publication.

Authors

CONTENTS

Title			
Foreword by Dr. A.K. Singh			
Foreword by Dr. Hillel Magen			
Preface	by authors	7	
Contents	S	9	
ListofT	ables	11	
ListofF	igures	13	
Chapter	r 1 Introduction	15	
Chapter	r 2 Potassium mineralogy and its impact on crop production	n 19	
2.1	Potassium-containing minerals in the soils of Punjab	19	
2.2	State of depletion of K from soils of Punjab		
	and its consequences	19	
2.3		21	
2.4	Composition and electron micrograph of K-minerals		
	of Punjab soils	23	
2.5	<u>8</u> ,,,,,,,,-		
	soil mineralogy	24	
	2.5.1 Location, geology and environmental setting of AESR I & II Location and climate		
	2.5.2 Location, geology and environmental setting of		
	AESR III & IV Location and climate		
	2.5.3 Location, geology and environmental setting of		
	AESR V & VI Location and climate		
	Land forms and mineralogy		
2.6	Potassium mineralogy in AESR I & II	28	
2.7	Potassium mineralogy in AESR III-VI	28	
Chapter	r 3 Potassium status and its impact on crop production	33	
	Potassium balance in soil-plant system	36	
3.2	Fertilizer use and nutrient consumption ratios	38	
3.3	Role of potassium in improving nitrogen use efficiency	38	

Chapte	r4 Res	sponse of crops to applied potassium	41
4.1	Decad	e old studies	41
4.2	Recent	t studies	43
	4.2.1	Yield and yield parameters of sunflower	
	4.2.2	Oil content and oil yield of sunflower	
	4.2.3	Content and uptake of K by sunflower	
	4.2.4	Yield and yield parameters of maize	
	4.2.5	Content and uptake of K by maize	
	4.2.6	Yield and yield parameters of peas	
	4.2.7	Content and uptake of K by peas	
Chapte	er 5 Co	nclusions and researchable issues	61
5.1	Summ	ary and conclusions	61
5.2	Resear	rch gap	63
References			65

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page
1.	Negative K balance in a long-term rice-wheat experiment during 1988-2000 at the Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana. Soils were dominated by micaceous minerals (illite in clay fraction).	21
2.	Changes in forms of K in soils in Agro-Ecological Sub- Regions (AESR) of Punjab.	22
3.	Physical, chemical, and mineralogical properties in some benchmark soils of Punjab: Effect of cropping.	23
4.	Effect of cropping on some Q/I parameters in some benchmark soils of Punjab.	23
5.	Elemental composition of alkali-feldspar and muscovite in some soil series of Punjab.	24
6.	Description of soils in Agro-ecological sub-regions (AESR) of Punjab.	26
7.	Semi-quantitative distribution of minerals in clay fraction of a Himalayan catena in Punjab.	29
8.	Semi-quantitative distribution of minerals in clay fraction in the soils of piedmont (District: Ropar) of the Punjab Himalayas.	30
9.	Distribution of potassium-containing minerals (%) in some important soil series of AESR III & IV of Punjab.	31
10.	Percent samples under different categories of available K, total cropped area (field crops), area testing low in available K, and additional requirement of potassium fertilizer in	
	Punjab.	35
11.	Available K status in some soil series in Punjab.	36
12.	Potassium removal by 13 crop cycles (maize-wheat) and its effect on exchangeable and non-exchangeable potassium in soils (1970-71 through 1983-84).	37
13.	Temporal changes in nutrient consumption ratios and nutrient use in Punjab.	38
14.	Effect of K and N application on tuber yield of potato	39

Table No.	Title	Page
15.	Extent of response of soil application of potassium to wheat, paddy, and maize in Punjab (1961 through 1995).	41
16.	Effect of fertilizer treatments on yield and yield parameters of sunflower.	44
17.	Effect of fertilizer treatments on yield parameters of sunflower.	46
18.	Effect of fertilizer treatments on height of sunflower plant.	47
19.	Effect of fertilizer treatments on girth of sunflower plant.	48
20.	Effect of fertilizer treatments on leaf area of sunflower.	49
21.	Effect of fertilizer treatments on oil c ontent and oil yield of sunflower.	50
22.	Effect of fertilizer treatments on contents and uptakes of K in seeds and straw of sunflower.	51
23.	Effect of fertilizer treatments on grain and straw yields of maize.	52
24.	Effect of fertilizer treatments on yield parameters of maize.	54
25.	Effect of fertilizer treatments on growth parameters of maize.	55
26.	Effect of fertilizer treatments on K content and uptake by maize.	56
27.	Effect of fertilizer treatments on yield and yield parameters of peas.	58
28.	Effect of fertilizer treatments on K content and K uptake by peas.	59

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Торіс	Page No.
1.	Secondary electron image of mica grains separated from very coarse sand in the Agro Ecological Sub-Region II of Punjab. (a) Muscovite. Note thin platy psedohexagonal nature of the grain. The grain boundary is more regular than biotite. (b) A biotite grain. (c) Biotite image at an accelerated current. Note broken boundary and exfoliated nature. Growth of rod shaped psedocrystal is evident. (d) A closer look at high accelerated current. Note opening of cleavage plain. Dissolutions pits are evident.	25
2.	Agro-Ecological sub-Regions of Punjab.	27
3.	Geographical distribution of levels of available K in soils of Punjab.	34
4.	Annual balance of potassium (kg K ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹) in long term wheat-rice system at Ludhiana (1988 through 2000).	38
5.	Increase in yield of wheat (115 experiments), paddy (41 experiments), sunflower (21 experiments) and cotton (18 experiments) with potassium application on soils testing low (<137 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹), medium (137 to 337 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹) and high (> 337 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹) in available (NH ₄ OAc extractable) potassium.	42
6.	Effect of different treatments on grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹) of sunflower.	45
7.	Effect of potassium application on (a) size of head of sunflower and thickness of stem, and (b) grain weight of flower head.	45
8.	Effect of different treatments on grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹) of maize.	53
9.	Effect of potassium application on size of cob and grain per cob.	53
10.	Potassium application protected the maize crop from lodging.	53
11.	Effect of potassium application on pods of peas.	57
12.	Effect of treatments on fresh pod yield (kg ha ⁻¹) of peas.	57